

1 PARNELL COLVIN
2 6681 TARA AVE
3 LAS VEGAS, NV 89146
4 PH: (503) 490-6564
5 EMAIL: PC681@YAHOO.COM

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
OCT 04 2022	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: <u>le</u>	DEPUTY

6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

9 PARNELL COLVIN,
10 PLAINTIFF,

11 CASE NO: 2:22-CV- CDS-DJA ⁰⁰⁸²

12 VS.

13 MOTION TO REQUESTS FOR
14 EXTENTION OF TIME TO FILE
15 ANSWER LOCAL RULE IA 6-1

16 TAKO LLC
17 DEFENDANT.

18 Comes now plaintiff Parnell Colvin and is requesting the court for an extention to file
19 his answer to the courts order. Plaintiff has several cases pending in the federal courthouse and
20 just filed his answer to a pending case before Judge Richard F. Boulware on October 2, 2022.
21 Judge Boulware, has granted the plaintiff an extention for the same reasons as plaintiff has
22 requested in this court. This will be the first time plaintiff has been granted an extention to file his
23 answer plaintiff needs the time to prepare his answer to the courts order plaintiff needs the time to
24 research , consult with an attorney and to possibly retain counsel to represent him in this matter.
25

26 ///////////////
27
28

1 This court has never granted plaintiff a request for an extension to file his answer to the court
2 order for October 12, 2022. This court just repeats the same reason that because defendants
3 motion was dismissed somehow plaintiff don't need an extension and it would be moot. The
4 defendants motion being dismissed by the court is not the reason that plaintiff has been making the
5 point that the extension is needed to prepare his answer. Due to plaintiff's pending cases he has not
6 and would not have the appropriate time to file a productive answer to the court order.
7
8

9 This court has consistently denied plaintiff requests for an extension to file his answer if the
10 extension is not granted it will cause harm to plaintiff and deny him his right to fairness and due
11 process by the court. Plaintiff is very much aware that the court is looking for a reason to dismiss
12 his lawsuit and not having the time to prepare an effective answer will make the court's job that much
13 more easier to dismiss his lawsuit.
14
15

16 Plaintiff Colvin, meets the requirements set forth in Local Rule IA 6-1 for an extension and in the
17 interests of justice this court and for good cause showing should grant his request. The court should
18 not just keep stating and relying on defendants motion being dismissed as the basis to keep denying
19 plaintiff's requests. The two issues are separate and unrelated facts to each other.
20

21 Plaintiff is requesting the court to address his request based on the reasons stated in his motion
22 and state for the record why the court keeps denying his request for an extension based on the
23 defendants motion being dismissed when they are unrelated issues.
24

25 Plaintiff believes he never was treated fairly by this court and will immediately appeal to the
26 Ninth Circuit Court Of Appeals for abuse of discretion the day if and when it dismisses his lawsuit
27
28

//////

1 For good cause stated and shown plaintiff has met the requirements set forth in
2 Local Rule IA 6-1 to be granted his first extention to file his answer due by October 27,2022.
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 DATED AND SUBMITTED THIS DAY OCTOBER 3, 2022.
24
25
26

27 PARNELL COLVIN
28